

# Braunston Parish Council

Ordinary Meeting of Parish Council held in the Village Hall on  
Monday 5<sup>th</sup> September 2022  
Actions from Meeting

## Present

In the Chair: Sandra Stapley  
Councillors: Biggs, Cary, Conway, Harrison, Mawer, Morgan, Newman & Pomfret  
Clerk: Rolt  
Unitary Councillors Present: none

<b>22.09.01</b>	<b>Apologies for absence received and accepted:</b> Jo Glover (Workshop)
<b>22.09.02</b>	<b>Open forum for Members of the Public</b> 13 members of the public were present and gave their objections to planning application DA/2022/0702
<b>22.09.03</b>	<b>Declaration of Interest</b> Sandra Stapley is chair of the Braunston Charities (by default as chair of PC) Sue Harrison is chair of Twinning Association and is a trustee of Braunston Charities and is on the Your Café Management Committee John Pomfret is treasurer of the Twinning Association Jo Glover is a founding member of Braunston Heart Rhianna Conway is co-manager of The Old Plough Carrie-Ann Biggs is manager of the Pre-School
<b>22.09.04</b>	<b>Unitary Councillors Report</b> Rosie Humphries submitted her report by email and this was accepted.
<b>22.09.05</b>	<b>Minutes of Last Meeting (1<sup>st</sup> August 2022)</b> It was proposed by Pete Morgan, seconded by Graham Newman and carried that the minutes for the meeting be signed as a true record.
<b>22.09.06</b>	<b>Finance Report</b> The clerk presented the monthly accounts report; it was proposed by Carrie-Ann Biggs, seconded by Alan Mawer and carried that these be accepted. <b>Accounts for Payment</b> It was proposed by Graham Newman, seconded by Pete Morgan and carried that these amounts be paid. <b>Smaller Authorities Audit Appointments</b> By a vote of 9 to 0, the Parish Council decided to stay opted into the SAAA scheme
<b>22.09.07</b>	<b>Clerks Actions</b> As per report. The only update is to ask Friends of All Saints if they wish to contribute to the wall repair costs
<b>22.08.08</b>	<b>Councillors – Area Report Back</b> Councillors were approached with the following items: - <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Query with regard to tree on Archer Avenue</li><li>• Concerns over bin at Chip Shop which overflows due to heavy use (a new one is to be ordered)</li></ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environmental health concerns re Hill Row (this has been passed to WNC)</li> <li>• Issues re pavements and recent works by Gigaclear</li> <li>• Overhanging trees in Barby Road, Church Road and North Close</li> </ul> <p>Report back from surgeries: latest surgery is attached as appendix one</p>
<b>22.09.09</b>	<b><i>Vacancies</i></b>
	The sub-committee will be meeting a new applicant on the following Wednesday
<b>22.09.10</b>	<b><i>Emergency Planning</i></b>
	It was agreed to place on next agenda
<b>22.09.11</b>	<b><i>Bus Service Reliability</i></b>
	It was agreed with a couple of amendments that the proposed email by Alan Mawer be sent to Stagecoach in Rugby
<b>22.09.12</b>	<b><i>Local Government Boundary Review</i></b>
	Alan Mawer has applied for a place on the web seminar and will report back, it was agreed to place on next month's agenda
<b>22.09.13</b>	<b><i>Braunston CAN</i></b>
	<p>David Cary reported that BP could be interested in a potential EV scheme; the main issue is that it will require too many parking spaces in the village hall. After discussions, Pete Morgan agreed to look to see if the BT exchange could potentially listed as a community asset and then utilised for these purposes.</p> <p>The written report was accepted</p>
<b>22.09.14</b>	<b><i>Jettyfields</i></b>
	As per report
<b>22.09.15</b>	<b><i>Playing Fields</i></b>
	No meeting since last PC meeting
<b>22.09.16</b>	<b><i>Police Liaison</i></b>
	Graham reported that he was trying to get the Beat Bus back to Braunston
<b>22.09.17</b>	<b><i>Twinning</i></b>
	Two fundraising activities have been organised
<b>22.09.18</b>	<b><i>Village Charities</i></b>
	No meeting since last Parish Council meeting
<b>22.09.19</b>	<b><i>Village Hall</i></b>
	The hire charges for the hall will increase due to increased utility costs. VH are also looking to get quotes to get the tarmac outside the kitchen repaired
<b>22.09.20</b>	<b><i>Planning</i></b>
	<p>WND/2022/0608 – 65 High Street Support by a vote of For 8 Against 0 Abstain 0</p> <p>WND/2022/0735 – Apex Park Phase Repeat Comments from last time For 9 Against 0 Abstain 0</p> <p>WND/2022/0746 – 33 Church Road Support by a vote of For 8 Against 0 Abstain 0</p> <p>WND/2022/0702 – Land to rear of Old Plough</p>

After allowing all members of the public who wished to speak their chance the Parish Council then discussed and made the following decision: -

The Parish Council object to this application on the following grounds: -

- A previous application was made in 1992 for 6 houses and the approved was for only 2 on Health and Safety concerns, there is no material changes in the location or situation since and therefore these concerns still exist and have not been addressed
- The proposed highway access for the pub business is extremely dangerous with limited visibility and no splays, currently the vehicles have the option to use the rear access and this will be taken away. Under the proposal if a vehicle enters the car park and it is full then the car will have no alternative but to reverse out onto the main High Street. The current car park was completely full last Friday and therefore the suggestion that eight spaces is sufficient would appear to be inadequate.
- Also under this proposal all deliveries for the business will have to park on the High Street and the business happens to be on a curve in the road so will reduce visibility for both High Street users and vehicles leaving the car park
- The parking survey in the application was taken in May 2020 and therefore not representative of "real" times as this was at the beginning of COVID lockdown, there are regularly cars parked on either side of front access which decreases visibility even further
- By building on the car park we believe it will affect the viability of the business and therefore jeopardise the long term future of the building and the business (this is against Policy E of the Neighbourhood Plan), our concerns were grave enough with regard to the site that we submitted a community asset application for the building and car park prior to knowing about this application
- The application is against Policy A (d) - these are market value houses and do not help first time buyers or the elderly
- The application is against Policy C - there are no measures to improve traffic management from the front of the site and in fact, the application makes the situation worse, with regard to the rear access there are no improvements proposed and in fact not even a dedicated turning circle proposed with potential conflicts between Plots 1-2 & 3
- The application is against Policy M of the Neighbourhood

	<p>Plan as it proposes for discharge of rainwater to the current rainwater system over the existing hard surfaces</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We believe this application is against the policy of the National Framework for sustainability (Social Role) as the current business allows the school to use the car park for parking to help alleviate too much traffic around the school in the mornings and afternoon pick up times, use of car park for community events such as Dog and Car shows which have helped raise funds for charitable causes</li> </ul>

DRAFT

## Appendix 1

Parish Council Surgery - 9/8/2022

Alan Mawer, Dee Honeybill

One parishioner attended, raising two issues:

- ☐ Tree on verge on Spinney Hill claimed to be overgrown. Action – Steve asked WNC tree officer to investigate.
- ☐ Bin outside Braunston Fryer, broken and too small. Action – replacement already ordered.