

**Braunston Neighbourhood Development Plan**

# **Consultation Statement**

**February 2016**

**Braunston Parish Council**

## Contents

<b>1.</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Non-statutory consultation</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>Statutory pre-submission consultation on the draft plan</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>14</b>
	1. Contributors and Acknowledgements	
	2. Analysis of the Neighbourhood Survey Questionnaire (February 2013)	
	3. Neighbourhood Plan Questionnaire (February 2014)	
	4. Neighbourhood Plan Questionnaire - Analysis	
	5. Neighbourhood Plan Survey with Young People - Analysis	
	6. Neighbourhood Plan Meeting with Scouts (March 2014)	
	7. Parish Council Annual General Meeting (17 May 2014)	
	8. Note of Parish Meeting to discuss Housing Issues (July 2014)	
	9. Transport Related Comments from Speed Awareness Day	
	10. List of consultees on the Pre-submission Draft Plan	
	11. Assessing Responses on the Pre-Submission Draft Plan	

# 1. Introduction

## Purpose of the Consultation Statement

- 1.1 In February 2016 Braunston Parish Council submitted their neighbourhood plan to Daventry District Council. When submitting a neighbourhood plan to the relevant local planning authority the legislation requires that the qualifying body include a number of other documents to accompany it. One of these is commonly known as a Consultation Statement.
- 1.2 This Consultation Statement has thereby been prepared to fulfil the legal obligations of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012. Part 5, Section 15(2) of the Regulations sets out that a Consultation Statement should:
- a) contain details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
  - b) explain how they were consulted;
  - c) summarise the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted;
  - d) describe how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.

## Background to the preparation of the neighbourhood plan

- 1.3 The Neighbourhood Plan has been prepared in response to the wish expressed by Braunston Parish Council to develop the individual character of the parish by taking advantage of the opportunity provided by the Localism Act 2011. Another motive was to engage a greater number of parishioners in planning matters. It was felt that this would increase participation in an already active community.
- 1.4 Braunston Parish Council is the Qualifying Body for this Neighbourhood Plan. However the research, public consultation and plan writing tasks were carried out by a Steering Group which operated as a sub-committee of the Parish Council. It is made up of parish councillors and volunteer parishioners. The Steering Group involved further members of the parish by delegating some research and consultation to Working Groups. All those who worked on this plan are listed in Appendix 1.
- 1.5 Braunston Parish Council applied to Daventry District Council to designate the Neighbourhood Area in June 2013. After undergoing the statutory six week consultation period, the District Council formally designated the Braunston Neighbourhood Area on 5 December 2013.

## **Schedule of activities and timeline**

- 1.6 Consultation activity during the preparation of the neighbourhood plan occurred in two distinct stages.
- 1.7 Initial non-statutory consultation occurred between 2013 and early 2015 when the Steering Group sought the views of the wider community as part of their evidence gathering. The types of consultation carried out included questionnaires delivered to all households, discussions with school and young people's groups in the village, a Business Breakfast, gathering views at the Annual Parish Meeting and consultations on specific topics carried out at village events. The information gathered from these various community engagement activities formed part of the evidence base when formulating the draft plan.
- 1.8 The statutory pre-submission consultation on the draft plan was then undertaken for eight weeks between 27 July and 21 September 2015. The longer consultation period than the statutory minimum was to compensate for it taking place over the summer holiday period.
- 1.9 The specific activities undertaken during these two stages and the issues raised are described in more detail in the following sections.

## 2. Non-Statutory Consultation



2.1 The initial community consultation and engagement activities can be summarised as follows:

### **Trial Neighbourhood Survey**

2.2 A Trial Neighbourhood Survey was carried out in November and December 2012. The purpose of this was to test the clarity and meaning of questions compiled by the Steering Group. The trial questionnaire was filled in by a sample of 15 respondents selected to represent different age categories and geographical areas around the village. Business respondents were also selected.

2.3 As well as filling answers to the questions in the survey, each respondent was also provided with a form to use to feedback their ideas about the questions. In one case a respondent dictated this feedback to the collector. A document collating responses to the trial was compiled and used by the Steering Group to amend the questionnaire before final distribution to the rest of the parish.

### **Neighbourhood Survey**

2.4 A Neighbourhood Survey was carried out in February 2013. One form was delivered to each household. Members of the Parish Council distributed the forms and returned to collect completed forms. In addition a central collection point was published. Parish Councillors made two or more visits to households from where a reply was not received.

- 2.5 There were 392 responses out of 776 households in the parish. Data from the survey was collated and graphed. A copy of the questionnaire and analysis is attached as Appendix 2. Results were later communicated to parishioners through articles in the monthly village news letter.

### **Annual Parish Meeting**

- 2.6 In May 2013 there was the Annual Parish Meeting. Data from the Neighbourhood Survey was displayed at the meeting for parishioners.

### **Volunteers Event**

- 2.7 A meeting and presentation for volunteers took place on 4 June 2013. Parishioners who had indicated on the questionnaire that they would like to help with the Neighbourhood Plan were individually contacted and invited to attend a meeting which included a presentation on Neighbourhood Planning given by the responsible officer from Daventry District Council. 15 volunteers and 5 parish councillors attended.
- 2.8 Various joint working groups were then organised and volunteers allocated. These working groups subsequently to agree actions, discuss progress and coordinate public consultation.

### **Second Household Questionnaire**

- 2.9 A further questionnaire was circulated in February 2014 to ask more detailed questions on topics that arose from the first Neighbourhood Survey. A copy of the questionnaire is attached as Appendix 3. Paper copies were distributed around the village. There was also the facility to respond on-line. A copy of the analysis is attached as Appendix 4. Individual comments were also collated to establish common themes.

### **Business Breakfast**

- 2.10 A Business Breakfast took place on 20 Feb 2014 to discuss Neighbourhood Plan. All people with businesses in Braunston were invited to attend. There were 14 business people present at the event. They were given a brief presentation on the neighbourhood planning process and invited to participate in the preparation of the Braunston Neighbourhood Plan.

### **Engaging Young People**

- 2.11 Consultation was undertaken in the form of discussion groups with year six pupils at Braunston C of E School who live in the parish. Summaries of opinions were collated. An analysis of the responses is attached as Appendix 5.
- 2.12 Discussion groups were also held with the two Scout groups that function in Braunston. Summaries of the various opinions were collated and analysed. A copy of the notes of the meeting on 5 March 2014 is attached as Appendix 6.



- 2.13 In August 2014 there was a children's photography event. This was advertised as a school holiday activity. 12 children aged 4 to 10 took part. Each was provided with a disposable camera to record on a walk around the village the things they like and the things they dislike.

#### **Annual Parish Meeting (2014)**

- 2.14 In May 2014 a Neighbourhood Plan information table was set up at the Annual Parish Meeting. In addition parishioners were given the opportunity to comment on topics that concerned them by writing on post-it notes. These comments were collated and analysed. A note of the discussion is attached as Appendix 7.

#### **Housing Consultation**

- 2.15 Flyers were distributed to all households giving information about the event. There were over 80 people in attendance. Opinions were collected and discussed with parishioners. A note of the meeting and the issues raised is attached as Appendix 8.

#### **Traffic Consultation**

- 2.16 In September 2014 there was traffic consultation at an Air Ambulance fund-raising event on the Village Green and attended by large numbers. The Steering Group arranged for County Highways and Advanced Motorists also to be present. Opinions were collected and collated. A note of the comments made is attached as Appendix 9.

#### **Autumn Fair**

- 2.17 In November 2014 there was an Autumn Fair in the village. Neighbourhood Plan information table to report progress with plan.

## Annual Parish Meeting

2.18 At the Annual Parish Meeting in May 2015 information was provided about the draft plan and the forthcoming pre-submission consultation.

## Information and Publicity

2.19 Throughout the plan preparation process there were on-going initiatives to publicise progress and provide feedback. This included:

- monthly reports to full Parish Council meetings
- the latest information on the parish website
- articles in the Braunston Village News, distributed to all households, averaging five per year. These have focussed on:
  - encouraging responses to questionnaires
  - reporting on the results of consultations
  - giving information about progress with the plan.





### 3. Statutory Pre-submission Consultation

#### Consultation Period

- 3.1 The statutory consultation period on the draft plan ran for 8 weeks from 27 July to 21 September 2015.

#### Publicising the Draft Plan

- 3.2 The Neighbourhood Planning (*General*) Regulations 2012 require that before the neighbourhood plan is submitted to the local planning authority the qualifying body must publicise a draft to those who live, work and carry out business within the neighbourhood area and invite representations.
- 3.3 In order to publicise the draft plan in the neighbourhood area:
- The plan and the response forms were published on-line and in paper form.
  - The consultation was publicised by putting information in the Braunston Village News July and August editions distributed to all households. The July edition also had a flyer insert with the dates of the question and answer drop in sessions.
  - Three question and answer drop in sessions were held at different times and on different days; a Saturday morning, a Friday evening and a Tuesday during school term from 2-6pm.
  - A large banner giving the deadline date for submitting response forms was tied to the railings of the Village Hall which is in a prominent position on the Village Green.
  - A laminated A4 version of the banner was displayed at various places around the village.
  - A notice was published in the Daventry Express newspaper every week during the consultation giving information about the question and answer session as well as the consultation itself and the deadline for responses.
  - Two separate articles were also published in the Daventry Express.
  - A supply of paper copies of the Plan and the response form was maintained in the Village Stores, Community Café and Marina Shop. These were for parishioners to borrow and return after reading.
  - Information about the consultation was published in the Parish Council section of the Braunston official website.
  - Reminders about the question and answer sessions and the ways of responding to the Plan were placed in the news section of the home page of the Braunston official website.
  - In the publicity there was an offer to deliver a copy to any household that needed it. There was one request for such a delivery.
  - The Steering Group offered to read the plan to any visually impaired parishioner.



### **Consultees**

- 3.4 The regulations also stipulate that the qualifying body must also inform any of the consultation bodies whose interest it considers may be affected by the draft neighbourhood plan and invite them to make comment.
- 3.5 The consultation bodies are set out in Schedule 1 to the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. The Steering Group contacted directly by email all bodies whose interests may be affected by the proposals in the neighbourhood plan. The list of contact names and addresses was supplied by Daventry District Council.
- 3.6 The list of consultees is attached as Appendix 10.
- 3.7 A copy of the draft plan was also sent to Daventry District Council for comment.

### **Response to the Consultation**

- 3.8 There were 210 downloads of the plan from the website during the formal consultation period. There were 100 hard copies of the plan were printed, of which 15 remain. Some that were taken from the distribution points were later returned and re-used. A reasonable estimate is that the draft plan reached at least 300 households in the Neighbourhood Area.
- 3.9 A total of 30 people attended the three drop in sessions that were organised.

3.10 The Steering Group received 33 responses from individuals or households (of which 23 were hard copy responses and 10 were electronic responses).

3.11 The Steering Group also 8 responses from various organisations:

- Anglian Water
- Natural England
- Northamptonshire County Council (Minerals and Waste Planning Section)
- Daventry and District Disability Access Forum
- Northamptonshire County Council (Archaeological Section)
- Canal and River Trust
- Highways England
- Daventry District Council

#### **How these issues were considered and addressed**

3.12 The Steering Group assessed and collated the responses into a table, which is attached as Appendix 11. For each individual comment submitted the table indicates:

- Response reference number
- Consultee
- Address
- Policy or paragraph in the draft plan that the comment relates to
- The response by the Steering Group to the comment
- Modification to the draft plan (if appropriate).

3.13 As Appendix 11 indicates, there was a great deal of support for the policies within the Neighbourhood Plan and the approach adopted. Many of the submitted comments were consistent with those raised during non-statutory consultation:

- Housing - The need for a greater mix of housing, including sufficient affordable homes. This needs to be small scale and in-keeping with the character of the area
- Transport and traffic - The need to ensure that Braunston is safe and accessible to all with adequate pedestrian, cycling and public transport provision.
- Character and amenities - Many wished to see the character of the village and its facilities maintained, and were wary of the implications of any further expansion to Daventry
- Business - Further employment opportunities in the village are to be welcomed to create a more sustainable community.

3.14 The vast majority of the other comments submitted were relatively minor in nature with suggested wording improvements rather than any change in the policy stance adopted.

3.15 The content of the draft plan was then reviewed in the light of the comments received and, where appropriate, modifications were made accordingly. These modifications can also be seen in Appendix 11.

## 4. Conclusion

- 4.1 This Consultation Statement demonstrates that the Steering Group (acting on behalf of Braunston Parish Council) has prepared the Braunston Neighbourhood Development Plan in accordance with the legal obligations set out in the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012.
- 4.2 As stipulated in Part 5, Section 15(2) of the Regulations this Consultation Statement:
- a) provides details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
  - b) explains how they were consulted;
  - c) summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and
  - d) describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed Braunston Neighbourhood Plan.
- 4.3 Furthermore the Steering Group hope that this Consultation Statement demonstrates that they have endeavoured to go beyond the minimum legal requirement. The Group has made genuine and committed efforts to engage all those who live, work or have a business interest in the Neighbourhood Area and provided them with every opportunity to influence the content of the neighbourhood plan throughout its preparation.

## **Contributors and Acknowledgements**

### **Contributors**

Research, consultation and plan-writing were carried out between 2012 and 2015. The Neighbourhood Planning Steering Group throughout this time consisted of Parish Councillors Andrew Alsop, Alan Mawer and Abigail Campbell who is also the District Councillor for Braunston and Welton Ward.

Parish Councillors Sandra Ashford, Graham Newman, Chris Johnson, Richard Hawkins, Paul Chamberlain, Peter Biggs, and Daxa Pachal, as well as Parish Clerk Steve Rolt and parishioners Mike Davies and Sandra Stapley were members of the Steering Group for, in many cases, substantial parts of this period.

Research and consultation were also carried out by Working Groups consisting of parishioners Andre Gibson, Anita Lowe, Graham Newman, Sandra Stapley, Mike Davies, Nikki Lee, Sue Barrie, David Parr, Sam Thompson and James Rawbone.

### **Acknowledgements**

The contributions of all of the above are gratefully acknowledged. It must also be acknowledged that the work could not have been completed without the professional guidance provided by Robert Keith of RTPi Planning Aid. We also acknowledge guidance from Tom James of Daventry District Council, District Councillor Alan Chantler and David Livermore of Involving Communities. Initial information and contexts were provided in a useful training event led by the Northamptonshire County Association of Local Councils. Spratton Parish Council provided a valuable opportunity to find out about their work towards achieving a Neighbourhood Plan. In February 2013 all parish councillors distributed and collected the Community Survey. Andre Gibson of Pumpkin Pip Website Solutions helped with the on-line publication of this document.

The processes of producing this plan were financially supported by a grants totalling £7450 from Locality. Facilities to hold the Business Breakfast were provided by Neil Bannister. Design work was subsidised by Neil Bannister of Southfield Arts.

Assistance at consultations was provided by the above contributors as well as Parish Councillors Mark Fitzhugh, Pete Morgan and Louis Prat. We are grateful for the help provided at the Housing Consultation by Neil Gilliver of Warwickshire and Northamptonshire Rural Housing Association, and Mark Pople of Daventry District Council. We are also grateful for the help provided at traffic and transport consultations by PCSO Kev Thompson and by the Northampton Group of the Institute of Advanced Motorists. Steve Barber of MGWSP provided information about and examples of road engineering and speed management. Matt Johnson of the Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire and Northamptonshire Wildlife Trusts provided information and advice about Local Wildlife Sites and biodiversity issues.

We would like to thank Kim and George Donald for allowing us to hold consultations alongside their Air Ambulance Fund-Raising events and All Saints' Church for a similar opportunity. Braunston Primary School and Braunston Scouts provided opportunities to consult young people. Braunston Village Stores, Your Community Café and Braunston Marina Shop have helped by providing drop-off points.

## Analysis of the Neighbourhood Survey Questionnaire

(February 2013)

**A message from Braunston Parish Council**

**Q.** Why should you complete this survey?

**A.** Because it will steer the way Braunston develops and this affects you as a resident. The Parish Council needs to know what is important to you.

Neighbourhood planning is a new right for communities introduced through the Localism Act 2011. It allows communities to shape the development and growth of their local area through a Neighbourhood Plan. We therefore need **your views** on the future of the village in order to ensure our community's interests and needs are fully considered as part of a Braunston Neighbourhood Plan.

**Please complete the survey below and:**

- Post it through the letter box at 34-36 High Street, Braunston OR
- Have it ready to hand in to the volunteer who will call back to collect it.

Number or name of property ..... Road .....	
1. Should Braunston have a Neighbourhood Plan?	
Yes <input style="width: 40px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No <input style="width: 40px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
2. Would you like to join a working group to help draw up a Neighbourhood Plan?	
Yes <input style="width: 40px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>	No <input style="width: 40px; height: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
[If yes please add your name and contact details]	
3. What do you feel about living in Braunston?	
<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>	Very positive, there are lots of things I like
<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>	Quite positive, there are more things I like than dislike.
<input style="width: 90%;" type="text"/>	OK



<input type="checkbox"/>	A bit negative, there are more things I dislike than like
<input type="checkbox"/>	Very negative, there are many things I dislike.

### Housing

4. How many people live in your home?

5. How many people under 18 are in your home?

6. How many people over 65 are in your home?

7. How many years have your family lived in Braunston?

8. For what reason did you move to Braunston?

9. What type of property do you live in?

<input type="checkbox"/>	Detached
<input type="checkbox"/>	Semi detached
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terraced
<input type="checkbox"/>	Flat
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please describe)

10. Is your home

<input type="checkbox"/>	Owner-occupied?
<input type="checkbox"/>	Rented?

11. How many bedrooms do you have?

12. Do you believe more housing should be built in the village to help families with ties to Braunston to remain or return here?

Yes  No

13. Has any member of your household moved away from the village because their housing needs could not be met in Braunston? Do NOT include people who moved for other reasons. Yes  No

If YES please state how many people moved away.

Select the main reason:

<input type="checkbox"/>	There was not housing of the right type in Braunston
<input type="checkbox"/>	The housing available in the village was too expensive

### Employment

14. Please indicate the employment status of the members of your household by indicating the number of people in each category.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Self-employed (full-time or part-time)
<input type="checkbox"/>	In full-time employment
<input type="checkbox"/>	In part-time employment
<input type="checkbox"/>	Unemployed
<input type="checkbox"/>	Retired
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other

15. Please indicate the usual place of work for those in employment in your household by indicating the number in each place.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Braunston (in own home)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (please state)
--------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------

	Braunston (other)		Other (please state)
	Daventry		Other (please state)
	Rugby		

16. How do the people in the household travel to work? Please tick one column per person. Journeys may include more than one method.

Person 1	Person 2	Person 3	
			Walk
			Car
			Shared car
			Bicycle
			Motorcycle
			Bus
			Train
			Other

17. How important do you think it is to have employment opportunities in the village?

Not important  Quite important  Very important

## Local Services and Voluntary Organisations

18. How often does anyone in your household use the following in the village?

	Daily	Weekly	Occasionally	Never
A shop				
The post office				
Mobile library				
Car servicing				
A pub				
Fish and chip shop				
Butchers				
The Village Hall				
Tea Shop				
Hairdresser				
Marina - Shops & facilities				
Bottom Lock - Shops & facilities				
Other Canal - Shops & facilities				
Other (please specify)				
Other (please specify)				

19. Which statement do you most agree with?

<input type="checkbox"/>	I like to support services in the village because it is more convenient
<input type="checkbox"/>	I like to support services in the village because I want to help keep

them going.

I am happy to travel out of the village for everything I need.

20. Do you have any ideas for supporting the range of services and businesses offered in Braunston?

21. Do you have any ideas for promoting or extending the voluntary organisations, clubs and societies in the village?

22. Do you have any ideas for extending the services provided by Jetty Fields, Playing Fields, Village Hall & Welton Road Play Area.

23. The Parish Council is currently providing the following services. Do you

have any ideas for improving or changing the existing services?

Grass cutting

Street lighting (Not on A45 which is provided by Northamptonshire County Council)

Litter picking and small maintenance jobs (i.e. Benches, bins and notice boards)

If affordable are there any services that you would like the Parish Council to add?

### Education

24. Please indicate the number of those in full-time education in your

household, as well as their location and method of transport.

Write name of school, etc in this row →	Pre-school/ nursery	Primary school	Secondary school	College	University
Walk					
Cycle					
Bus					
Car					
Shared car					
Motorcycle					

**Travel**

25. Do you use private transport? Yes  No

If YES do you car share with someone outside your household?  
Yes  No

26. Would you participate in a car share scheme if available e.g. school run, work, train station?  
Yes  No  Occasionally

Comments

27. Do you use public transport and if so how often?

Daily

Weekly

Occasionally

Never

28. Do you have a concessionary bus pass?

Yes

No



29. Do you ever travel by train and if so how often?  
 Daily  Weekly  Occasionally  Never

30. If you use a train which station are you most likely to use?  
 Rugby  Long Buckby  Other  name

31. What improvements, (if any) would you like to see implemented in Braunston? e.g. Traffic flow/calming, speed restrictions, roads, pavements, parking, public transport.

**Open spaces and conservation**

32. How often do you use the following village open spaces?

	daily	weekly	occasionally	never
Jetty Fields/Pocket Park.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Playing Field	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Welton Road Play Area	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Green.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Canal/ Towpath.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

33. Do you agree that the open spaces in and around Braunston for relaxing and playing games are satisfactory? Yes  No

34. Do you agree that the provision for outdoor play areas for under  
elevens is satisfactory?

Yes

No.

35. Do you agree that there are adequate activities for the over elevens?

Yes

No

36. Is the provision of footpaths adequate in our village?

Yes

No

37. Would you use a dedicated cycleway/footpath between Braunston and Daventry if that were possible? Yes  No

38. Please place a tick against any of the following activities that you take part in. Add any others at the bottom of the list, if necessary.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Active sport.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Walking.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cycling.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Gardening.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fishing.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Use of the canal for boating.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other outdoor activity. (Name.)

39. Is it easy to find out about leisure opportunities, organisations and events in Braunston? Yes  No

40. Please use the space below to comment on how leisure activities including the playing field and Jetty Fields could be improved in Braunston.

**And finally...**

Please tell us the things you like about living in Braunston.

Please tell us the things you dislike about living in Braunston.

**Thank you for completing this questionnaire.**

## Braunston Neighbourhood Plan Questionnaire

(February 2014)

Last year Braunston Parish Council asked you to fill in a Neighbourhood Survey. This was part of the process to gain Neighbourhood Planning status. This process is expected to take about three years. Data collated from the Neighbourhood Survey has been analysed and topic reports published in the Braunston Village News.

Information from the survey will be used to formulate the plan. However, the volunteers who are working on the plan have identified a few additional questions they would like to put to Braunston residents to help with the contents of the plan.

**We would be grateful if you could complete this short survey online at:  
[www.braunston.org.uk/neighbourhoodplan](http://www.braunston.org.uk/neighbourhoodplan)**

**Alternatively** please complete this questionnaire and leave it at the various locations listed at the end of this document, prior to 24th February 2014.

### Roads & Transport

**Following the recent changes in the bus service, is the new arrangement adequate?**

**Yes                  No                  Not Applicable**

What further improvements would you make?

**Would you like to see a cycle/walkway along the old railway line between Braunston and Daventry?**

**Yes                  No                  Not Applicable**

Would you like to comment further?

**Would you like to see improvements along the tow path running from the marina to the tunnel towards Daventry?**

**Yes                  No                  Not Applicable**

Would you like to comment further?

**Would it be beneficial to check traffic speed in the village?**

**Yes                  No**

Any additional comments/considerations in respect of Roads and Transport not mentioned above?

## Housing

Do you think the village needs more new houses?

Yes                      No

If Yes, please briefly explain what type of housing the village needs and why

## Employment & Canal based Activities

We are fortunate to live in a community with a range of different businesses in it. As part of the Neighbourhood Plan we want to explore how the community can help them to thrive and grow. Which of the following would you like to see in Braunston?

### Options to promote local businesses

Loyalty card for Braunston Businesses

Yes                      No

Directory of local trades-people & businesses (paper version to support the one online at [www.braunston.org.uk](http://www.braunston.org.uk))

Yes                      No

Longer opening hours (please specify which businesses and hours in particular below)

Yes                      No

Activities and campaigns promoting Braunston as a tourist destination outside of the immediate area

Yes                      No

Produce from local growers & producers in the village shops or a new village market

Yes                      No

Please enter any comments you may have for the above

### Do you have any other ideas to promote existing Braunston businesses?

Previous surveys have shown that people would like to see more job opportunities within the village; this is likely to be through the expansion of businesses already based here or by attracting new ones.

Retail

Yes                      No

Tourism/Hospitality

Yes                      No

Canal Based businesses

Yes                      No

Personal, professional & administrative services, e.g. Health/Beauty; Accountancy; Legal etc.

**Yes**            **No**

Other business types (please give details below)

**Yes**            **No**

**Comments/Observations**

**Please place in the box provided at the village shop**

**OR**

**Place in the letterbox at one of the following:**

**22 High Street, 65 High Street, 24 The Green, 1 Field View,**

**8 Church Road, 46 Ashby Road, 4 Brindley Court**

**prior to 24th February 2014**

**Thank you!**

## Braunston Neighbourhood Plan Questionnaire - Analysis

(February 2014)

### Is the new arrangement for the bus service adequate?

<b>Yes</b> 39 - 22%	<b>No</b> 58 - 33%	<b>N/A</b> 77 - 44%
<b>Further improvements</b> Reinstate route 10, especially along A45 and to serve wharf. More frequent, including Sunday. Later buses from Rugby More shelters. More bus stops - Maple Close, High Street Serve Greenway		

### Cycle/walkway?

<b>Yes</b> 142 - 82%	<b>No</b> 23 - 13%	<b>N/A</b> 9 - 5%
<b>Comments</b> Safer for cyclists who currently use A45 or Welton Road. A safe route to link with Daventry. More visitors Concerns about crime		

### Improvements to towpath?

<b>Yes</b> 159 - 92%	<b>No</b> 5 - 3%	<b>N/A</b> 9 - 5%
<b>Comments</b> Flooding problem needs to be overcome. More seats.		

### Check traffic speed?

<b>Yes</b> 139 - 79%	<b>No</b> 30 - 17%	<b>N/A</b> 6 - 3%
<b>Comments</b> Request changes to entrance junctions - mirrors, roundabouts. Speed check most needed at school times. Parking limits speed anyway. Too much parking e.g. near Village Hall		

### More new houses?



<b>Yes</b> 43 - 26%	<b>No</b> 114 - 69%	<b>N/A</b> 7 - 4%
<b>What type and why</b> Extend low cost estates to keep youngsters in the village. In-filling. Affordable Residential care home. Smaller homes for elderly to downsize.		

**Options to promote local businesses**

**Loyalty card?**

<b>Yes</b> 86 - 64%	<b>No</b> 49 - 36%
------------------------	-----------------------

**Directory?**

<b>Yes</b> 113 - 78%	<b>No</b> 31 - 22%
-------------------------	-----------------------

**Longer opening hours?**

<b>Yes</b> 22 - 17%	<b>No</b> 107 - 83%
------------------------	------------------------

**Promote Braunston as a tourist destination?**

<b>Yes</b> 105 - 78%	<b>No</b> 29 - 22%
-------------------------	-----------------------

**Local produce?**

<b>Yes</b> 135 - 91%	<b>No</b> 14 - 9%
-------------------------	----------------------

**Comments and ideas**

Make more use of canalside notice boards, e.g. at Butchers Bridge  
Farmers market, e.g. as at Grandborough, but not at expense of existing businesses.  
Bi-annual or annual village festival. Public toilets

**Expansion of existing and new businesses**

**Retail?**

<b>Yes</b> 109 - 74%	<b>No</b> 39 - 26%
-------------------------	-----------------------

**Tourism/hospitality?**

<b>Yes</b> 109 - 73%	<b>No</b> 40 - 27%
-------------------------	-----------------------

**Small-scale manufacturing?**

<b>Yes</b> 20 - 67%	<b>No</b> 10 - 33%
------------------------	-----------------------

**Canal based?**

<b>Yes</b> 132 - 83%	<b>No</b> 27 - 17%
-------------------------	-----------------------

**Personal, professional and administrative services?**

<b>Yes</b> 79 - 59%	<b>No</b> 54 - 41%
------------------------	-----------------------

**Comments, observations**

Well-served at present. Public toilets required for expansion of tourist-based business.  
Faster broadband

## Neighbourhood Plan Survey with Young People - Analysis

(14 February 2014)

**Attendance:** 15 year six pupils Braunston C of E School

### Likes about Braunston

	Number of mentions
Quiet, peaceful	7
Not many cars	3
Safe	2
Good for bike riding	2
friendly, everybody knows everybody	2
Parks, playing fields	2
Shops, hairdressers	2

### Dislikes about Braunston

	Number of mentions
Not many activities or places to play	5
Dog mess	3
Hostile neighbours	2
Playing field should have more things	2
Some litter in bushes	1
Noisy teenagers	1
Teenage sibling being told to move on by police	1
Would prefer more shops	1
No skate park	1

### Will you live in Braunston when you are 25?

Yes - 11

Reasons - countryside, peaceful, safe, friendly, calm, convenient, places for sport.

No - 4

Reasons - leave for work, would need more petrol money to get to places like Daventry for going out with friends.

### Would you like Braunston to be the same when you are 25?

Yes - 12

Reasons - better than Daventry, want to keep reminders of childhood.

No - 3

Reasons - would like more play spaces and sports facilities.

**Do you think it would be a good idea to connect our village with Daventry with a cycleway/walkway along the old railway line?**

Yes - 12

Reasons - To reach friends in Daventry, to save petrol money

No - 3

Reasons - Want no change

**Would you like a Skate Park in your village?**

Yes - 12

Reasons - Get people off the streets, there would be fewer complaints, skaters at present go to Barby

No - 3

Reasons - lives next door to it and it would be too noisy, it would attract teenagers who do not like mixing with.

**If the PRIME MINISTER came in to your school and asked you to say, in one sentence, something about your village how might you answer?**

Braunston is a calm and peaceful place and we have all we need with few problems.

**Do you see any problems living in a village?**

We need more council houses, houses for the elderly and housing for young people.

Teenagers.

Bus stops.

Bus services to secondary school.

**Summary**

Amongst most of the 15 pupils who live in Braunston and who spoke to us there was a strong liking for the village. They clearly feel safe in the village and felt that it is peaceful. They like the opportunities Braunston provides with mentions of shops and places to play, including sports. At the

same time they would like more places to play and more things to do at the playing field. Most wanted to be living here when they are 25 and few wanted any changes by that time.

There was some concern about teenager noise but one pupil thought it was unfair that her teenage sibling got moved on for being on a scooter. One pupil thought that the village is not a place for rich people. It was suggested that Braunston needs more council houses and properties that are suitable for elderly people.

There was strong support for both a cycleway to Daventry and for the skate park, although a small number pointed out some perceived disadvantages with both.

Braunston Parish Council would like to thank the pupils for giving us their time. It is much appreciated. Their views and opinions are being added to those from other people in the village and will be referred to when drawing up plans for the future of the village.

Andrew Alsop and Alan Mawer

## Neighbourhood Plan Meeting with Braunston Scouts

(5 March 2014)

### Attendance

7 members of Braunston Scouts

### Likes about Braunston

Shop
Quiet, peaceful
Easy to see friends
Jetty Fields
Good for bike riding
Playing field
Cinema Club
Good size
See the hills

### Dislikes about Braunston

Some teenagers
Playing field too far out of the village
Dog mess
Lack of bus to Ashlawn School
Need more places to play fopotball

### Will you live in Braunston when you are 25?

Yes - 4

Reasons - countryside, peaceful, quieter than Daventry

No - 3

Reasons - would like a big city.

### Would you like Braunston to be the same when you are 25?

Yes - 5

Reasons - want to keep reminders of childhood.

No - 2

Reasons - would like the playing field closer, perhaps on the canal side of the village.

**Do you think it would be a good idea to connect our village with Daventry with a cycleway/walkway along the old railway line?**

Yes - 5

Reasons -

No - 2

Reasons - Too expensive

**Would you like a Skate Park in your village?**

Yes - 5

Reasons - Get people off the streets, safer

No - 2

Reasons - Teenagers would take it over.

**If the PRIME MINISTER came in to your school and asked you to say, in one sentence, something about your village how might you answer?**

Love Braunston. Good atmosphere. No rush hour. Nice village. Outdoors. Good for walking. Mostly friendly.

**Do you see any problems living in a village?**

Difficulties of getting to cinema, train station, friends in Rugby schools. Difficulties with buses, especially at weekends.

### **Summary**

The Scouts clearly like the village. They like the opportunities Braunston provides with mentions of shops and places to play, including sports. At the same time they would like more places to play football and would like the playing field to be closer to the main part of the village. Most wanted to be living here when they are 25 and few wanted any changes by that time.

There was some concern about teenagers being noisy and taking over a skate park if one were built. They like the atmosphere in Braunston, including the fact that it does not have a rush hour.

There was support for both a cycleway to Daventry and for the skate park, although a small number pointed out some perceived disadvantages with both.

There were concerns about limited transport, such as the difficulty in getting to a cinema, train station and Ashlawn School.

Braunston Parish Council would like to thank the Scouts for giving us their time. It is much appreciated. Their views and opinions are being added to those from other people in the village and will be referred to when drawing up plans for the future of the village.

Andrew Alsop and Alan Mawer



## Discussion on Neighbourhood Plan

Parish Council Annual General Meeting (17 May 2014)

Suggestions from Parishoners of Braunston from above meeting:

### HOUSING

Protect the village boundary otherwise it will become an urban sprawl maybe joined to Daventry

We should provide reasonably priced housing to encourage young families. It will keep the village alive.

Any new housing should be in character with the village. Resist housing creep.

I met an older lady who lived in village since 1937 but having to move out as ageing illness - no bungalow available, Daventry is where she is reluctantly moving!

Social housing - Move people in family homes to elderly accommodation - bungalows - give option sooner.

\*\*\*\*\*

### ROADS AND TRANSPORT

20MPH throughout village and change junction near Church

How can we start Farmers Market when theres trouble with parking in village

Change junction near Church. People approach too fast and its a blind bend.

Lower speed limits in village, mini roundabouts at junctions, less on street parking

Where people have facilities to park off road encourage them, High Street is a nightmare

Can something be done about parking in Ashby Road

1) All park on one side?

2) Yellow lines?

As bus companies may stop use due to problems of vehicles.

\*\*\*\*\*

### EMPLOYMENT AND CANAL BASED ACTIVITIES

Tourism should be encouraged developed around canal

Organise an employment fair and include businesses (promo and recruitment)

Link with timebank, especially for long term employed and new arrivals

Link the village with activities by the canal - more please - easy promotion?

Contact firms that do employ local people - like Cummins, Block Paving Co. - promote, support encourage.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **OPEN SPACES AND VILLAGE AMENITIES**

Farmers Market - the one at Grandborough Church is great - could invite same stall holders

In favour of improving playing field facilities but not a skatepark

Under 5's park needs massive improvement - re-design please

A farmers market would be good

Please don't gentrify Jetty Field!

Outdoor exercise equipment on playing fields

A monthly Farmers Market would be great - hold it in the Church, it works well.

Suggest finding out how many children actually use playing field

People coming from playing fields at night cross adjoining field and climb my fences to get to village.

There is no fencing at back of sports field therefore not safe from dogs etc.

Involve younger children in caring for pocket park and Jetty Field for example 1 x Sat morning per month. I would be happy to be involved. Leah Pritchard

Kids need facilities, maybe indoor centre for winter months. (Skatepark is a bit 1980's and outdoor. Should look to satisfy a wider range of interest for such a big investment.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **MISC**

Bakers shop please (Pop up coming 21 June)

Farmers/Growers market - share/swap surplus too

Annual heritage event

Pop up bakery - local brewers?

Surplus from growers market to go at end to Dav food bank.

## Note of Parish Meeting to discuss Housing Issues

(Wednesday 16 July 2014)

The meeting started at 3.30pm and finished at 7.30pm. There were 80 plus in attendance.

All the following statements are as they were written or said from attendees.

\*\*\*\*\*

Originally Maple Close was only for social housing. It should not now have housing for sale

Supported a development that includes social housing

Happy for the extension to go ahead

Must not be a through road to Spinney Hill

Concerned houses do not get looked after when occupied by tenants

There is not enough houses for people to downsize to on open market

Housing needs to be affordable to keep younger people in the village

Off road parking for Ashby Road to cope with extra traffic new houses will bring

Does our village really need more housing? I think personally it's big enough, it needs no further extension. Completely agree people need more housing etc. Just as its needed doesn't mean they get it. This could be done everywhere. Unsure about affordable housing in our village.

I am downsizing but might not be able to re-purchase in Braunston. I am retired and would like to stay in Braunston. Purchased a 2bed roomed house.

It would be beneficial to build the social housing closer to the school and shop to prevent vehicles building up there as they do currently. Also the bus service will need to be improved.

What about traffic?

Maple Close must not join to Walnut Close

Need more housing for older people

Support extension of Maple Close

We want to downsize

Car parking in Ashby Road and Welton Road. Bus always moaning about cars.

Looking for flats, maisonettes, happy for this development we can only look forward

Stop picking on this village just look what is going on all round to other villages in this area, they are all suffering this fate

Need housing for young people

What land can be built on?

Need houses with smaller gardens but not small rooms

Need more building land

Building should be allowed on the field between Greenway and playing fields

Would support an expansion of the village to provide more housing

Housing for elderly should not be a long way from the village centre

More housing and social events. Young and old to mix in the village. Parking needs looking at

It would be reasonable to let more landowners have planning permission on their own land so not to spill out into our countryside.

## Transport Related Comments during Speed Awareness Day

(27 September 2014)

### Comments :

Dangerous parking in Church Road around school pick up and drop off times

Speeding on High Street/Welton Road/Ashby Road

Bend at church - problem turning right

Parking on pavement on Church Road obstructing the pavement

Parking at Church

Poor parking in Nibbits Lane - blind right turn out of Nibbits Lane

Right of way needs changing at end of Greenway/Barby Lane

School run Church Road, morning and afternoon - far too fast. Need some form of control

Speeding in Church Road/school traffic blocking paths and difficult to get out of driveways

Speeding in Church Road. At school times should be 20mph

Not slowing/stopping at junctions in/ out of village

Parking on the hill/bend on Welton Road

Cars drive too fast through village, particularly on school runs

Parking in turning space at the end of Brindley Quays

Road markings at junctions at marina end of Brindley Quays.

## The list of consultees on the Pre-submission Draft Plan

Daventry District Council

Northants County Council (General Planning)

Northants County Council (Education)

Northants County Council (Highways)

Barby and Onley Parish Council

Ashby St Ledgers

Welton Parish Council

Staverton Parish Council

Daventry Town Council

District councillor for Braunston and Welton Ward

District councillor for Barby and Kilsby Ward

Homes and Communities Agency

Natural England

Environment Agency

Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England (English Heritage)

Network Rail Infrastructure Limited

Highways Agency

BT

Mobile phone mast operators

NHS England

National Grid

Anglian Water

Wildlife Trust for Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire and Northamptonshire

Daventry and District Disability Access Forum





## Assessing Responses to Pre-Submission Draft Plan

Ref No	Consultee	Address	Para or Policy Reference in Pre-Submission Draft	Comment	Response by Steering Group	Modification to Plan as submitted to DDC
1	Highways England	199 Wharfside Street, Birmingham B1 1RN	General comment	The plan area is some distance from the nearest area of strategic road.	Noted	N/A
6	Natural England	Eastbrook Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8DR	General comment	No comments other than those made via DDC.	Noted	N/A
9		Resident Greenway	General comment	The plan has considerable value	Noted	N/A
12		Resident High Street	General comment	Supports the plan	Noted	N/A
12		Resident High Street	General comment	A mains gas supply. Cheaper than oil and LPG. Impact of disruption to put in?	Noted	N/A
13		Residents Eastfields	General comment	A well thought out plan	Noted	N/A
15		Resident Eastfields	General comment	Comment on timescale for consultation being short	The period given was two weeks longer than the statutory period.	N/A
15		Resident Eastfields	General comment	Comment on links not working in pdf copy not user friendly	Individual technical issue	N/A
16		Residents Greenway	General comment	Agreement with the plan overall	Noted	N/A
18		Resident Welton Road	General comment	Thankful for hard work shown in plan	Noted	N/A
21		Resident Greenway	General comment	Admires the structure of the plan and the work gone into it.	Noted	N/A
24	Daventry District Council		General	The plan should include a proposals map which shows the village confines, the proposed allocation for affordable homes, the Local Green Spaces, play areas and the area of separation as it relates to Braunston.	Noted	As some proposals cover a wide area and others small spaces It was found not to be possible to put all

						proposals on one map. Section 10 has been added which lists the maps in the document that show proposals.
24	Daventry District Council		General	A wider map showing the <u>full</u> extent of the area of separation should also be used. This would make the plan easier to follow, assist with its use for development management purposes and also assist with the 'flow' of the document as currently the maps disrupt the housing section.	Agreed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full extent of area shown on revised map. (Map 9)</li> <li>• Maps will be moved to more appropriate pages.</li> </ul>
24	Daventry District Council		General	The group may wish to consider the order of the supporting text and the policies as whilst it is understandable why the supporting text has been grouped together under different themes it is not obvious where supporting text relates to a specific policy.	Agreed	Maps moved to more appropriate pages.
26		Resident Brindley Quays	General Comment	Parish Council and all concerned need praise for the job done in creating NH plan.	Noted	N/A
27		Resident Greenway	General Comment	Thank you for clear explanation of how village plan has been developed and what we have in terms of the reuse of redundant industrial sites and sensitive infill housing	Noted	N/A
29		Resident Church Road	General Comment	Document produced has excellent content and is extremely well presented. Support the objectives with reservations detailed in other comments submitted.	Noted	N/A
30		Resident High Street	General Comment	I support the proposals in the draft plan and appreciate the considerable work which has gone into its preparation	Noted	N/A
32		Residents Eastfields	General comment	A well prepared document	Noted	N/A
33		Residents High Street	General comment	Broad agreement to the Plan Considered the Plan to be well	Noted	N/A

				written and put together		
33		Residents High Street	General comment	More provision should be made in the Plan for the ageing population of the village	Noted	Support for public transport is envisaged through a bus users group (Supplementary Proposals paragraph 9.3)
36		Residents Wharf	General comment	Where are planning decisions decided Daventry or Braunston?	Question is dealt with in paragraph 1.1.	Paragraph 1.2 added
39		Resident Welton	General comment	Very informative highly relevant references	Noted	N/A
40		Resident High Street	General comment	No specific comments – it looks good	Noted	N/A
41		Resident The Green	General comment	Would like to encourage arts & crafts, workshops studios etc.	Noted	Suggestion passed to Parish Council
41		Resident The Green	General comment	Wants brown heritage signs for canal / village	Noted	Suggestion passed to Parish Council
41		Resident The Green	General comment	Wants creative art along the new cycleway	Noted	Suggestion passed to Parish Council
41		Resident The Green	General comment	Suggests we look at culture and sports toolkit	Noted	Suggestion passed to Parish Council
20		Residents Dark Lane	Map 2	Map 2 does not show full extent of conservation area.	Noted	Additional map added (Map 4)
5	Planning Services Northamptonshire County Council	County Hall, Guildhall Road, Northampton NN1 1DN	Chapter 2	Although it is the case that neighbourhood plans cannot cover minerals and waste matters, the Minerals and Waste Local Plan is part of the development plan for the plan area.	Agreed	Paragraph 2.5 reworded to indicate that the Development Plan includes more than the documents referred to.
3	County Archaeological Advisor	Northamptonshire County Council 01604 367909	3.8	Ridge and furrow is an undesignated asset and should be shown on Map 2. Plan does not mention undesignated assets. County Historic Environment Record should be consulted.	Consult County Historic Environment Record	References added to Character Area descriptions where appropriate (Appendix 3).
12		Resident High Street	3.10	Ensure that Braunston “Open Field” is open to the public and free from development.	Full access may not be possible as it is thought that the Open Access Agreement has expired. Public	None as the distance of the field from the settlement indicates it could not

					access across the field will continue to be possible using the public footpath.	satisfy the criteria for a Local Green Space
26		Resident Brindley Quays	3.11	Has seen all listed wildlife in this area (Braunston (London Road) Station Local Wildlife Area as shown on Map 2, p27) except for Roe Deer. Has also paid Dr Arnold of Oxford Univ to carry out wildflower survey of old railway line. Also wants wildlife area extended to include land known as "The Paddock".	Wildlife Areas are designated by the Wildlife Trust. Information to be passed to biodiversity action group to be set up by Parish Council (paragraph 9.3)	None
2	Canal and River Trust	The Kiln, Mather Road, Newark NG24	3.13	Pleased to see that the plan highlights the significance of the canal in the built environment.	Noted	None
18		Residents Welton Road	3.21	Welton Road play area incorrectly shown on map 5. The boundary is level with the garden of no 33 School Close not beyond it.	Ordnance Survey mapping is used on which the play area is not labelled. The OS map accurately shows the boundaries of the play area. This comment refers to another piece of land to the north that is not part of the play area.	None
2	Canal and River Trust	The Kiln, Mather Road, Newark NG24	3.25	The canal has an important role in supporting the local economy.	Agreed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comment added to paragraph (3.26)</li> <li>• At least 10 canal-based business are in this area.</li> </ul>
12		Resident High Street	3.27	Encourage bus use to preserve the service	Noted	Support for public transport is envisaged through a bus users group (Supplementary Proposals paragraph 9.3)

18		Resident Welton Road	3.31	Is the number of 38 dwellings empty still current? What type of property are they?	The figure is taken from the 2011 census.	None
15		Resident Eastfields	3.32	Clarification sought on what being a Secondary service village means in terms of planning. Is being a secondary service village a change to what Braunston is defined as now? If so why and what does it mean. Transparency needed.	Noted. Paragraph has a cross-reference to WNJCS.	Chapter heading changed to 'Description of the Neighbourhood Area'. Paragraph amended (3.33)
16		Residents Greenway	3.32	Clarification sought on what being a Secondary service village means in terms of planning, rather than an infill village	Noted. Paragraph has a cross-reference to WNJCS.	Paragraph amended (3.33)
29		Resident Church Road	Section 4 Community engagement	Traffic & Transport is a main issue and subject to external bodies. Disappointed that draft plan has so little to contribute on this important subject	As this issue is mostly beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan it is referred to the Parish Council.	None
3	County Archaeological Advisor	Northamptonshire County Council 01604 367909	5.1	Housing should not impact on the ridge and furrow – WNJCS Policy BN5.	WNJCS Policy BN5 checked. The proposed extension to Maple Close is not on land designated as a Historic Environment Asset but is designated as a Nationally Important Open Field System.	None
37		Resident Welton Road	5.1	Supports preservation of existing views from canals.	Noted	None. Is included in policy H. Policy J is also relevant.
37		Resident Welton Road	5.1	Concerns about the closeness of industrial Daventry. Further encroachment should be prevented	Noted	None. Concern is addressed in Policy G
12		Resident High Street	5.3	Will "in filling" be enough?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Paragraphs 5.1 indicates that according to the Housing Needs Survey it will be</li> </ul>	Reference to DDC land supply data added (Paragraph 7.6)

					<p>enough.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consider adding additional evidence from Daventry land supply data.</li> <li>• Consider cross-references or merging with paragraphs 7.11-7.14</li> </ul>	
39		Resident Welton	5.3	Village life and distinctiveness are important	Noted	None
12		Resident High Street	5.4	Take immediate action to promote actively the cycle way on the old railway route	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Noted.</li> <li>• Feasibility study is under way.</li> </ul>	No change. See policy D.
11		Resident London Road	5.4	Traffic management measures apply to all roads, including A45.	Noted. As this is beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council	None. Referred to parish council.
17		Resident Church Road	5.4	Traffic calming on A45 needed 30 mph by bridge / junction	Noted. As this is beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council	None. Referred to parish council.
17		Resident Church Road	5.4	20mph through village	As this is beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council	None. Referred to parish council.
17		Resident Church Road	5.4	20 mph speed limit/bumps along Church Rd to slow down rush hour/school run traffic	As this is beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council	None. Referred to parish council.
17		Resident Church Road	5.4	Give priority to Church Rd at junction with the High St. No visibility turning right makes it dangerous.	As this is beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council	None. Referred to parish council.
21		Resident Greenway	5.4	Shares concerns about existing road junction (Barby	As this is beyond the remit of a	None. Referred to

				Lane/Greenway), and about volume and speed of traffic. Points out how limited public transport is and how it could/should develop to meet needs of growing, elderly population.	neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council.	parish council.
29		Resident Church Road	5.4	It is welcomed that measures to improve safe roads and footpaths will be promoted	Noted	N/A
39		Resident Welton	5.4	Strongly in favour of the measure	Noted	N/A
29		Resident Church Road	5.5	I would challenge the notion that the speed of vehicles along Church Road are within tolerances acceptable to the Police even if they are comfortable with speeds up to 39 MPH – visible speeds are not safe along Church Rd.	As this is beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council.	None. Referred to parish council.
36		Residents Wharf	5.5	Support improving the bus service.	Noted	N/A
36		Residents Wharf	5.5	Concern about A45 becoming busier if bus service is improved	An aim of increasing transport choices is to reduce road use.	N/A
17		Resident Church Road	5.8	Implement policy E calming	As this is beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council	None. Referred to parish council.
18		Resident Welton Road	5.8	Query over the length of time the speed measurements were in place. Proportion speeding seems likely to be higher from experience.	Issue referred to parish council	None. Referred to parish council.
26		Resident Brindley Quays	5.8	Does not think speed checking by villagers is appropriate as it sets villagers against one another	Noted	N/A
37		Resident Welton Road	5.8	Challenges the accuracy of speed measurement	As this is beyond the remit of a neighbourhood plan the issue is referred to the Parish Council.	None. Referred to parish council.
18		Resident Welton Road	5.9	Towpath should be kept solely for walkers	It is Canal and River Trust policy that the towpath is used by cyclists as well.	N/A
18		Resident	5.9	In favour of a dedicated cycle	Noted	N/A

		Welton Road		route.		
3	County Archaeological Advisor	Northamptonshire County Council 01604 367909	5.10	Character areas include ridge and furrow	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agreed.</li> <li>• Review character areas.</li> </ul>	Added to descriptions where appropriate.
12		Resident High Street	5.10	Fight hard to stop the spread of Daventry towards Braunston	Noted	N/A
13		Residents Eastfields	5.10	Keep Braunston as a village. Clear separation between Braunston and Daventry.	Noted	N/A
3	County Archaeological Advisor	Northamptonshire County Council 01604 367909	5.12	Skate park should not be in an area of ridge and furrow or archaeological sensitivity.	Skate park has planning permission	Reference made in paragraph 5.14
18		Resident Welton Road	5.13	Can the Welton Rd play area be enhanced/changed?	Play facilities are referred to in paragraph 7.29 and improvements to provision are being investigated by the parish council.	None
39		Resident Welton	5.15 – 5.22	Would like to see specific reference to increasing employment for local people	The percentage of economically active people in the parish who were unemployed in 2011 was marginally above the district average but below the regional and national averages. Braunston parish 3.6% Daventry District 3.5% East Midlands 5.0% England 5.3%	Paragraph 3.24 added
2	Canal and River Trust	The Kiln, Mather Road, Newark NG24	5.20	Important to ensure canal-related business can be accommodated whilst taking care to protect character and historic value.	Agreed	Reference added - paragraph 3.26



13		Residents Eastfields	5.20	Canal an important asset. Development opportunity. A Museum? Something to show our heritage?	Noted	N/A
36		Residents Wharf	5.20	Where would car parks be? Concern that views from canal must be protected.	Views are protected under Policy H. Policy J states that any development must respect the unique character of the canal through innovative and sensitive design.	None
10		Resident Eastfields	5.22	Danger of attracting “wrong type of “visitors”.	Noted	None
12		Resident High Street	5.22	Take immediate action to promote actively the cycle way on the old railway route	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Noted.</li> <li>• Feasibility study is under way.</li> </ul>	No change. See Policy D.
17		Resident Church Road	5.22	Agreement with Cycle route along old train line	Noted	N/A
26		Resident Brindley Quays	5.22	Supports cycle path on old railway line	Noted	N/A
22		Resident Greenway	6.1	Would like to see much more emphasis on Braunston being a linear settlement - important part of character. Suggests 6.1 reads 'protects and enhances the distinctive character of the linear settlement and its rural, canalside landscape'.	Noted that Braunston originated as a linear settlement. The purpose of the Vision, Paragraph 6.1 is not to describe.	Description of original linear site added to paragraph 3.29.
29		Resident Church Road	6.2	No objectives are provided to promote safe roads	Agreed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Objective h) added.</li> <li>• Policy letters added to the columns of the Objectives table</li> </ul>
1	Highways England	199 Wharfside Street, Birmingham B1 1RN	Objective 6.2 d	Welcomed as they promote the use of sustainable and alternative modes of transport.	Noted	N/A
3	County Archaeological Advisor	Northamptonshire County Council	Objective 6.2 e	To promote the local landscape character including ridge and furrow.	Noted. Reference is made in paragraph 3.8.	Objective e) amended to read 'To protect the

		01604 367909				local landscape character and historic features.'
19		Resident Welton Road	Chapter 7	Personal planning interest in building new property in grounds of Abbeyleix. Asks could this tie in with the plan in any way.	Plan is clear on policies for this area.	N/A
39		Resident Welton	Chapter 7	Agree with all policies	Noted	N/A
21		Resident Greenway	Chapter 7	Strong belief any further development towards eastern end of Greenway would cause significant harm to character of village and safety of roads	Noted	N/A
12		Resident High Street	Policy A	Suggests residential expansion northwards from Greenway with houses facing playing field to integrate it better into the village.	Noted. Does not conform to Policies A and I.	N/A
22		Resident Greenway	Policy A, para 7.9	Would like to see much more emphasis on Braunston being a linear settlement - important part of character. Suggests 6.1 reads 'protects and enhances the distinctive character of the linear settlement and its rural, canalside landscape'. Further suggests addition of explicit statement in or after para 7.9 to the effect that there should be a presumption against LATERAL development beyond the village confines which compromises the distinctive shape of the village and Braunston's statement as a linear settlement'.	Noted that Braunston originated as a linear settlement. The purpose of the Vision, Paragraph 6.1 is not to describe.	Description of original linear site added to paragraph 3.29.
24	Daventry District Council		Policy A	To ensure that the policy is consistent with policy R1 of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy the following amendment should be made b) <del>It comprises infill development within the existing confines of the main built-up area of the village</del> <b>it accords with policy R1 of the West Northamptonshire.</b>	Agreed to inclusion of suggested reference to R1. Reference to village confines retained as this is in accordance with R1.	Policy A amended.
12		Resident High Street	Policies A, B, C	All new buildings have high standards of energy efficiency. Minimise carbon footprint.	All new buildings will be subject to government	None

					building regulations as well as Policy A.	
14		Resident Boat, marina	Policy A Policy B Policy C	Agreement with policy to maintain village character	Noted	N/A
3	County Archaeological Advisor	Northamptonshire County Council 01604 367909	Policy B	The location of the affordable homes (Map 6) is within an area of ridge and furrow contrary to policy BN5.	Policy has been removed from this plan. The development is subject to a planning application to DDC.	Policy has been removed from this plan.
7	Anglian Water		Policy B	No objection to the principle of affordable housing on this site.	Noted	N/A
10		Resident Eastfields	Policy B	Careful consideration to be given over building flats and the issue of parking available.	Noted	N/A
24	Daventry District Council		Policy B	The plan should illustrate why the proposed site was selected including demonstrating which alternative sites were assessed and why they were discounted. It may be helpful to set out this assessment as a separate appendix and we would recommend that the Daventry Site Assessment toolkit, available <a href="#">here</a> is used to illustrate this process.	Policy has been removed from this plan. The development is subject to a planning application to DDC.	Policy has been removed from this plan.
24	Daventry District Council		Policy C	This policy should be amended to refer to the Daventry District Nominations Cascade (Outside of the NRDA) set out in Appendix J of the Affordable Housing SPD 2012, particularly as there are inconsistencies between the criteria in Appendix 2 and the criteria in Appendix J of the Affordable Housing SPD. However to provide clarity to those using the plan the cascade criteria set out in Appendix J could be inserted in Appendix 2 with additional explanation. Therefore it is suggested that the policy is amended as follows;  “Proposals for affordable housing will be supported	Agreed	Daventry District Nominations Cascade (Outside of the NRDA) referred to and added as Appendix 4. Suggestion adopted; this is now paragraph 7.23.

				<p>providing it is it is prioritised for those with a local connection as prescribed by the <del>Local Connection Criteria set out in Appendix 2</del> <b>“Daventry District Nominations Cascade”</b></p> <p>Para 7.12- change the final sentence to state, <b>should be prioritised in accordance with the Daventry District Nominations Cascade (Outside of the NRDA) set out in the Affordable Housing SPD 2012 (or successor document) but reproduced for convenience in Appendix 2.</b></p> <p>Replace the text in Appendix 2 with the text from Appendix J of the Affordable Housing SPD 2012.</p>		
32		Residents Eastfields	7.3	Suggests local connection be maintained when properties are sold or re-let	This is not possible for private properties.	None
9		Resident Greenway	Policy C 7.9	Development beyond the village boundary being discouraged. Could there be exceptions outside the confine line but between existing buildings?	Any such application would have to conform to policies G and I.	None
28		Resident High Street	7.5	Plan is thorough and well written. Aware of the need to be careful about what is written to avoid opening up enormous Village Changing planning pressures. We need to remember that if our predecessors had not allowed residential development on open countryside then we would not be the village that we are today.	Noted	None
28		Resident High Street	7.7	91 dwellings required across the whole district is probably manageable and Braunston taking 11/12ths seems to work. I would hate Braunston to turn its back on change in terms of its housing and become a Nimby village. Diversity is what I love about living in Braunston	Policy A aims to allow developments that result in the types of properties mentioned rather than just allowing those that developers prefer.	None

39		Resident Welton	7.8	Concern that rural settlements hierarchy may be subject to revision – ask Abigail C	Noted	None
39		Resident Welton		Double check wording against policy R1	Noted	None
27		Resident Greenway	7.13	Not clear is the significance of the possible classification change from being an infill village to a secondary service village in the Daventry local plan	This is not a change for Braunston; it reflects a change of the classification system.	Amendment made to paragraph 3.33 to clarify this.
27		Resident Greenway	7.14	NH Plan is not clear on how future housing needs are to be identified	Further Housing Needs Surveys will be carried out.	None
35		Resident Thompson Close	Chapter 7 Housing	To ensure in future housing schemes on site garages and/or parking space are provided.	All new buildings will be subject to government building regulations.	None
29		Resident Church Road	Transport and Traffic	Traffic Management - Very limited policy/proposals on this subject. If roads etc. are beyond the plans responsibility I would have expected there to be at least a view/position established that advanced the aims for this community	Agreed	Objective h) added.
32		Residents Eastfields	7.15	Many residents cycle for exercise and pleasure. There is no hard surfaced cycle route from Braunston to Willoughby and the footpath on the A45 could be upgraded to provide a safe cycle route	This goes beyond the remit of the plan, particularly as most of the route is outside of Braunston Parish. The suggestion is noted and passed to the Parish Council.	None.
1	Highways England	199 Wharfside Street, Birmingham B1 1RN	Policy D	Welcomed as they promote the use of sustainable and alternative modes of transport.	Noted	N/A
8		Resident Greenway	Policy D 7.15	Promote Transport choices makes absolutely no mention of reinstating Braunston to Daventry railway. Route should be protected to allow for future reinstatement.	The railway was a short part of a much longer route most of which has been sold to other users and/or built over or structures such as	None

					embankments dismantled.	
14		Resident Boat, marina	Policy D	Agreement	Noted	N/A
17		Resident Church Road	Policy D 7.15	Agreement with Cycle route along old train line	Noted	N/A
25		Resident Brindley Court	7.15 Policy D	It should have been done years ago – supports feasibility study – wants signage to indicate what is permissible	Noted	N/A
25		Resident Brindley Court	7.16 Policy D	Wants minimum width of 6 feet or 2 metre pathways – if this is not achievable then install barriers and signs to stop cyclists	Noted	N/A
38	Phipps Farm Partnership	Bragborough Hall	7.15	Owns land on the railway route and has concerns about risk to stock from public and their dogs accessing by proposed new cycle route as well as security risk by easier access. Asks about policing of route.	Noted	N/A
31		Resident The Wharf	Policy E	Suggests that an improved bus service and upgraded bus shelter on the A45 near to the Wharf for use by residents and tourists may help to lessen traffic volume along this main road.	Noted. Partially addressed in Supplementary Proposals.	None
35		Resident Thompson Close	Chapter 7 Transport and traffic	Better access for buses on residential streets and bus shelters at bus stops.	Noted. Addressed in Supplementary Proposals.	None
35		Resident Thompson Close	Chapter 7 Transport and traffic	Residents to be encouraged to park considerately	Noted	N/A
37		Resident Welton Road	7.18	Use of Welton Rd play area should be encouraged to avoid losing it as it is important for parents and children from the east end of the village.	Agreed. Supplementary Proposal 9.3 (a).	N/A
3	County Archaeological Advisor	Northamptonshire County Council 01604 367909	Policy F	Site b (Map 7) has ridge and furrow earthworks. Site d (Map 7) has the remains of medieval settlement.	Ridge and furrow earthworks are in sites b and d. Sites a, d and a small part of b are part of the site of the medieval village of Braunston as is much of the modern village.	Reference to Nationally Important Open Field System added to justifications for Local Green Spaces (b) and (d).

4	Daventry and District Disability Access Forum	2 Homefield, Daventry NN11 4BA	Policy F	No mention is given to providing better play equipment for younger children.	Will be addressed through Supplementary Proposal 9.3 (a).	None
17		Resident Church Road	Page 29	Play area C needs to be less remote from the village Also need new playground area for 5-10 year olds	Noted. Included in Supplementary Proposals.	None
18		Resident Welton Road	Policy F	Query over the designation of Manor Field as Local Green Space as it is private land	Noted.	Information about ownership of Manor Field added to in paragraph 7.39 (d).
24	Daventry District Council		Policy F	At present there is insufficient justification for the 4 areas proposed as Local Green Space and Appendix 4 on repeats what is stated within the NPPF. The plan must set out how each of the Local Green Spaces meets each of the criteria set out in paragraph 77 of the NPPF, this will require a commentary against each site either set out in the supporting text or inserted at Appendix 4.	Agreed.	Justification for each of the Local Green Spaces added in paragraphs 7.39.
4	Daventry and District Disability Access Forum	2 Homefield, Daventry NN11 4BA	Policy G	No mention is given to providing better play equipment for younger children.	Will be addressed through Supplementary Proposal 9.3 (a).	None
24	Daventry District Council		Policy G	These should be mapped.	Agreed.	Map of Local Services and Community Facilities, including Welton Road Play Area, added (Map 7)
12		Resident High Street	Policy H	Fight hard to stop the spread of Daventry towards Braunston	Noted	N/A
13		Residents Eastfields	Policy H	Keep Braunston as a village. Clear separation between Braunston and Daventry.	Noted	N/A
14		Resident Boat,	Policy H	Agreement to maintaining separation from Daventry	Noted.	N/A

		marina				
24	Daventry District Council		Policy H	<p>The policy as currently drafted is inconsistent, criterion D refers to the built up area of Daventry whereas the final part of the policy makes reference to the Neighbourhood Area boundary. As Policy H cannot extend beyond the Neighbourhood Area boundary criterion D must be amended. Furthermore given that the designation relates to a particular boundary the current map, map 8 is not sufficient as it doesn't show the full extent of the proposed area, this needs to be amended to show the full extent of the boundary. The group may wish to consider whether they will need to carry out further consultation on this issue as the draft has not illustrated this designation fully.</p> <p>In addition it is suggested that the second paragraph of the policy be amended to make it more effective for development management purposes and to ensure that it is positive to accord with the National Planning Policy Framework;</p> <p><del>“Proposals for development will not be permitted if they would narrow these important open gaps and reduce the separate identity and landscape setting of these built up areas”</del></p> <p>“Proposals for development should ensure that they;</p> <p>e) Protect the important open spaces listed under a) to d);</p> <p>f) Maintain the separate identity of the village; and</p> <p>g) Respect the landscape setting of the village”.</p>	Agreed	The map (now Map 9) has been amended to show all of the Area of Separation. The wording of the policy (G) has been amended.



26		Resident Brindley Quays	Policy H	Area shown green is essential to maintain character of village	Noted	N/A
18		Resident Welton Road	7.19	In favour of Danetree Wood.	Noted	None
13		Residents Eastfields	Policy I	Vista is very important. Protect it!	Noted	N/A
34		Resident Ashby Road	Policy J	Could a condition be placed on future planning consents to ensure dominant structures are adequately screened?	Policy A states that residential development must be in keeping with its character area. Policy H states that proposals for development will be supported providing that they do not harm important views. In reference to open countryside, Policy I states that development will only be supported where it does not cause demonstrable harm to landscape quality.	Sentence added to Policy G to state that any development in the Area of Separation must not have an adverse impact on landscape setting
2	Canal and River Trust	The Kiln, Mather Road, Newark NG24	Policy K	Support the inclusion of a canal-related policy. Suggest clarification within the policy that it is not intended to restrict all development, but rather to prevent inappropriate development. The canal is a multi-functional asset and should not be viewed in purely environmental terms.	Agreed.	Paragraphs added (7.62-7.67) to justify inclusion of policy (now Policy J). Sentence added to policy to state that canals make economic, social and environmental contributions to the area.
14		Resident Boat, Marina	Policy K	Important that the canal area is managed sympathetically	Policy J covers this.	N/A
4	Daventry and District Disability Access	2 Homefield, Daventry NN11 4BA	Policy L	Provision for a doctor's surgery would be beneficial especially given the age profile of Braunston	It is unlikely that this could be provided. The Parish Council and volunteers	None

	Forum				manage a Community Car scheme that takes elderly parishioners to medical appointments.	
23		Regular visitor Gosport, Hants	Policy L	Considers Braunston an interesting and lovely village to visit. Shares concerns about lack of public toilets, which 'curtails' the enjoyment of the tourist/visitor	Noted	N/A
24	Daventry District Council		Policy L	The supporting text to policy L refers to innovative design but the purpose of the policy is to protect existing services and facilities. The supporting text should therefore focus on outlining why policy L is important including setting out the types of services and facilities in more detail.	Agreed	The policy (now Policy E) has been reworded. Supporting paragraphs (7.33-7.37) to justify the policy have been added.
24	Daventry District Council		Policy M	To assist with Development Management the policy should be amended as follows; a) They are <del>on</del> of a small scale	Agreed	Word changed. (Now Policy K)
4	Daventry and District Disability Access Forum	2 Homefield, Daventry NN11 4BA	Policy O	Communication infrastructure is important for disabled people to access services on-line.	Noted	N/A
39		Resident Welton	7.29	Supports all supplementary points	Noted	N/A
39		Resident Welton	Chapters 8, 9	Highly informative	Noted	N/A
10		Resident Eastfields	8.5	A village of two halves with respect to Broadband. When will ALL the village get it? Especially Eastfields.	Policy L supports improvements to electronic communication.	N/A
18		Resident Welton Road	Area Character Assessment Page 38	Comment on signage on London Road. Twin village name is spelt incorrectly on one sign.	Comment passed to parish council.	None
20		Residents Dark Lane	Area Character Assessment Page 42	When will the Hovis sign be back?	This is part of a listed building. The sign occasionally needs maintenance. (It was returned in	None

					November 2015)	
18		Resident Welton Road	Area Character Assessment Page 43	Comment....Jubilee Garden is to incorporate a village herb garden.	Description is based on March 2015 survey.	None